~ Sonoma County ~ Reassessment of Solid Waste Management Plan Alternative & Scenario Development

Workshop December 9, 2004



Overview

Three Goals Today

- Review Alternative evaluation & scoring
- Review Scenario development
- Discuss short-listing of Scenarios





Reassessment of Plan Approach

- Gather background information
- Define criteria and alternatives
- Analyze and evaluate alternatives
- Develop solid waste management scenarios
- Short-list most appropriate scenarios
- Conduct detailed economic analysis
- Select preferred scenario





Evaluation & Analysis

- Defined the alternatives and criteria
- Weighted the criteria with LTF
- Conducted detailed analysis of each alternative



 Evaluated and scored each alternative with criteria concurred on by LTF



Alternatives

- Export waste outside of County
- JPA assumes greater responsibility
- Maximize diversion through zero waste policies
- Expand Central Disposal Site
- Develop subregional system

- Develop West Expansion Area at Central
- Develop new longterm landfill in County
- Develop multi-county system
- Develop MRF
- Develop organics processing facility
- Privatize system



Evaluation Criteria and Weights

■ Criteria	Weight (pts)
 Operating History 	- 6
Diversion Potential/Consistency with AB 939 Hierarchy	• 14
 Distribution of Economic Benefits and Impacts, and Social Equity 	• 6
Environmental Consequences	• 10
Role of Public Sector Entities & JPA Participation Potential	• 7
Regulatory Cooperation	• 7
Disposal Needs and Obligations	9
Capital Costs	• 6
Operating Cost	• 7
Cost per ton	9
Siting, Design, Permitting and Construction Requirements	9
Effect on Current System Costs	• 10
■ Totals	- 100



Short-Term Alternatives Scores

Alternative	Score
Short-Term Alternatives	
Alternative 1 – Exporting of Solid Waste Outside of County	312
Alternative 2 – Joint Powers Agency Assumes Greater Responsibility for Solid Waste	341
Alternative 3 – Maximize Diversion in the County through Zero Waste Policies	355
Alternative 4 – Expansion of the Central Disposal Site	292
Alternative 5 – Subregional Waste System	232



Long-Term Alternatives Scores

Alternative	Score
Long-Term Alternatives	
Alternative 1 – Exporting of Solid Waste Outside of County	312
Alternative 3 – Maximize Diversion in the County through Zero Waste Policies	378
Alternative 6 – Development of West Expansion Area	285
Alternative 7 – Development of New Long-Term Landfill Capacity in the County	236
Alternative 8 – Develop Multi-County Regional System by Incorporating Adjacent County's Waste	333
Alternative 9 – Regional Cooperation to Develop a Materials Recovery Facility to Divert Non-Source Separated Recyclables from the Refuse Stream (this alternative does not include development of a source-separated recyclables MRF as these are already operated by private industry)	278
Alternative 10 – Development of an Organics Processing Facility	248
Alternative 11 – Privatization of All or Part of the Solid Waste System	276



Scenario Development

- Determined which alternatives were "base" alternatives to include in all scenarios
- Determined which alternatives scored low and were eliminated
- Determined how scenarios were grouped





Scenario Summary

Scenario	Institutional/Structural Issues	Short-Term Disposal	Facilities	Long-Term Disposal
А	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies	Export out-of-county	None	Export out-of-county
В	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies	Export out-of-county	None	Expand Central and then export out-of-county
С	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies	Export out-of-county	None	Develop West Area at CDS and then export out-of-county
D	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies	Export out-of-county	None	Expand Central & Develop West Area at CDS and then export out-of-county
E	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies	Export out-of-county	Develop MRF	Export out-of-county



Scenario Summary (cont.)

Scenario	Institutional/Structural Issues	Short-Term Disposal	Facilities	Long-Term Disposal
F	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies; develop subregional system	Export out-of-county	None	Export out-of-county
G	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies; develop subregional system	Export out-of-county	None	Expand Central and then export out-of-county
Н	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies; develop subregional system	Export out-of-county	None	Develop West Area at CDS and then export out-of-county
I	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies; develop subregional system	Export out-of-county	None	Expand Central & Develop West Area at CDS and then export out-of-county



Scenario Summary (cont.)

Scenario	Institutional/Structural Issues	Short-Term Disposal	Facilities	Long-Term Disposal
J	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies; develop subregional system	Export out-of-county	Develop MRF	Export out-of-county
К	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies; develop multi-county regional system	Export out-of-county	None	Export out-of-county
L	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies; develop multi-county regional system	Export out-of-county	None	Expand Central & Develop West Area at CDS and then export out-of-county
М	Pursue greater responsibility for the JPA; maximize diversion through zero waste policies; privatize solid waste system	Export out-of-county	None	Private landfill



Findings

- Short-term export of waste required
- Maximize diversion through zero waste policies
- Pursue greater responsibility through the JPA
- Long-term disposal capacity through exportation and/or expansion at Central
- New in-County landfill not viable
- Organics processing facility not viable
- Source separated recyclables MRFs addressed by private sector
- Non-source separated MRF of marginal benefit



Next Tasks

- To select short-list of scenarios
- Conduct detailed economic analysis
- Select most appropriate solid waste management scenario to implement



